#### Synchrotron Scattering for Study of Soft Systems

### Kevin G. Yager



# Outline

• X-ray scattering for nanoscience

- Experimental examples:
  - P3HT
  - Block-copolymers
  - 2D nanoparticle assembly

- New techniques:
  - Variance scattering
  - GTSAXS
  - Nano-lattice model









#### Synchrotron



#### NSLS-II (USA)





#### **CMS beamline at NSLS-II**



![](_page_2_Figure_7.jpeg)

# X-ray Scattering

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Wide-angles: atomic/molecular
- Small-angles: nano

### "Kinds" of Scattering

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **X-ray Scattering**

BROOKHAVEN

- Qualitative: assess order
- Peak positions: quantify d-spacing
  - Multiple peaks: determine symmetry, solve for unit cell
- Peak width: calculate grain size
- Intensity: quantify amounts of populations
- Intensity along arcs: determine orientation distribution

![](_page_5_Picture_8.jpeg)

### GISAXS

- Grazing-Incidence Small-Angle X-ray Scattering
- Strong signal:
  - Beam projection on sample
  - Reflection-mode enhances E-field in film
- In-plane and out-of-place structure
- Analysis complicated:
  - Refraction and reflection shifts *q*-space
  - Data is sum of many possible reflection/ scattering terms

![](_page_6_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_10.jpeg)

BROOKHAVEN

# Outline

• X-ray scattering for nanoscience

- Experimental examples:
  - P3HT
  - Block-copolymers
  - 2D nanoparticle assembly

- New techniques:
  - Variance scattering
  - GTSAXS
  - Nano-lattice model

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Organic Photo-voltaic**

Prototypical OPV: P3HT/PCBM bulk-heterojunction

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Film thickness ≈ 100 nm
- Need network for charge transport, but small domains to avoid recombination
- Ideal morphology is actually trapped in a non-equilibrium state (control and stability issues!)

#### **P3HT: poly 3-hexylthiophene**

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

3.1

BROOKHAV NATIONAL LABORA

# **Anisotropic Conduction**

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Face-on orientation would be ~300× better

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **P3HT Orientation**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

Edge-on  $\alpha_h \approx 0.0002 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  Face-on  $\alpha_h \approx 0.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ 

• Intensity along ring tells orientation

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **In-plane Alignment**

- Chains align with the grooves
- Not an in-plane powder!

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

Johnston *ACS Nano* **2014**, 8, 243

#### **Block copolymers**

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

A in B matrix

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **GISAXS of BCP**

Determine morphology, grain size, orientation, ... •

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

BROOKHAVEN

NATIONAL LAB

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

0.00 -0.04

0.00

 $\mathsf{q_x}~(\textup{\AA}^{-1})$ 

0.04

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **Reordering Transitions**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Transient states may appear during assembly

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Reorientation Transition**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **2-D Nanoparticle Assembly**

BROOKHAVEN

- Nanoparticles attracted to air-water (due to charge)
- Nanoparticle organization controlled by DNA coronas

Srivastava JACS 2014

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **2-D Nanoparticle Assembly**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Brute-force modeling...
- $P(q_r) = \int_0^{2\pi} |F(q_x, q_y)|^2 d\phi$ =  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\rho(r)e^{iq.r} dV|^2 d\phi$ =  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho(x, y)e^{iq_x x} e^{iq_y y} dx dy|^2 d\phi$ =  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho(x, y)e^{iq_r \sin(\phi)x} e^{iq_r \cos(\phi)y} dx dy|^2 d\phi$

	•:	÷	:	·	•••	
	:::	····	.:	÷	•.	····
5	÷			••••	:	•••
	:		·:			

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

		۰.	÷		·
• ×,	÷.,			:	• • •
·.		····*	··		÷.,
	:				

# Outline

• X-ray scattering for nanoscience

- Experimental examples:
  - P3HT
  - Block-copolymers
  - 2D nanoparticle assembly

- New techniques:
  - Variance scattering
  - GTSAXS
  - Nano-lattice model

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

# 'Variance Scattering'

 Conventionally, when we measure a scattering pattern, we accumulate data over a large area and time, to average-out random fluctuations...

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

 What if, instead of ignoring those variations, you emphasize them, and monitor them?

# **Scattering Ring**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- A scattering ring is a summation of many peaks
- Each set of *m* peaks comes from a different grain in the sample

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

• The more grains probed by the beam, the more uniform the ring becomes

Yager and Majewski, J. Appl. Cryst. 2014, 47, 1855.

# **Scattering Ring**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The more grains probed by the beam, the more uniform the ring becomes
- The detector probes only a fraction of the peaks in reciprocal-space

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$f_{\text{Ewald}} = \frac{\pi 2q \times (\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_q) \times (\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_q)}{4\pi q^2 \times (\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_q)}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_q}{2q}$$

$$N_p = m f_{\text{Ewald}} f_{\chi} N_g$$
$$= \frac{m \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_q f_{\chi}}{2q} N_g$$
$$N_g = \frac{2q}{m \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_q f_{\chi}} N_p$$

# **Ring Graininess**

Simulations are used to generate scattering rings for different number of grains (N<sub>g</sub>)

NATIONAL LABOR

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

• We can quantify the variation...

# **Ring Graininess**

• One simple metric is the relative **standard deviation** of the intensity

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

NATIONAL LABOR

- The decay of the "sorted" curve also encodes how many peaks were in the signal
- We can fit the decay of the "sorted" curve

# $\sigma_R$ metric

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Experimental: liquid crystal**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### KY02\_cyl\_0p5Cmin\_6full\_10s\_SAXS-linecut

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

10<sup>1</sup>

100

10

10

10

0 50100150200250300350400

200 250 300 350 400

KY04\_cyl\_2p0Cmin\_5full\_10s\_SAXS-linecut

Isotropic: 0.00

qr pop: 417.63

qz pop 152.59

50

100 150

 $\chi$  (°

40

35

(n<sup>30</sup>) 25 (a

Intensity 12 10

10

5 0

25

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

KY06\_cyl\_10p0Cmin\_7full\_10s\_SAXS-linecut

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

# **Experimental**

• We can measure variation in micron-sized grains, as a function of quench rate...

lamellar

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

hexagonal

ПКНА

NATIONAL LABORATORY

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### GISAXS

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **New concept: GTSAXS**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Grazing-incidence Transmission Small-Angle X-ray Scattering

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

Lu et al. J. Appl. Cryst. 2013, 46, 165.

#### **BCP** pattern

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Modeling

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Distorted-Wave Born Approximation (DWBA) considers 4 possible reflection-scattering events
- Born Approximation (BA) is much simpler: just a single scattering term

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **Multiple Terms**

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

• 4 terms of DWBA have different phases

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Refraction correction**

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Correction in GISAXS is large and nonlinear
- Correction in GTSAXS is just a small offset

#### **GISAXS**

#### GTSAXS

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Lithographic line grating

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Lu et al. J. Appl. Cryst. 2013, 46, 165.

# **GTSAXS** Comparison

RRAA	KĤ		FN
NATIONAL	LAB	ORA	TORY
/			

	TSAXS	GTSAXS	GISAXS
Requirements		Thin film	Thin film
	Thin substrate	Flat substrate	Flat substrate
		Sample near edge	
		Focused beam	Focused beam
		<u>Beam near edge</u>	
		Sufficient incident angle	Low incident angle
Advantages		Strong signal	Strong signal
		qz data	qz data
	Simple analysis	Simple analysis	
Disadvantages	Thin substrate	Sample constraints	
	Weak signal		
			Complex analysis
	Limited qz data		

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

Lu et al. J. Appl. Cryst. 2013, 46, 165.

# **Nanoparticle superlattices**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Nano-lattice x-ray model

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Derived a very general scattering formalism
- Allows for arbitrary lattice of arbitrary nano-objects

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

Yager, J. Appl. Cryst. 2014, 47, 118.

# Formalism

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Disorder

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Particle size polydispersity
- Grain size (correlation length)
- Positional disorder (DW)

# **Fitting data**

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Can fit experimental data quantitatively, extracting parameters of interest
- Constraining parameters (e.g. via SEM) helps
- Many parameters can be fit uniquely

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

• Even in highly disordered systems, we can deduce structure...

# **Particle Properties**

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Nano-object size and shape modulates peak heights
- Can substantially alter prediction

## **Lattice Site Defects**

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Conclusions

• X-ray scattering a powerful way to measure nanostructured thin films

- New techniques being used to analyze more complex systems:
  - Variance scattering for grain size & distribution
  - GTSAXS for complex nanostructures
  - New models for data fitting

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Acknowledgements

- BCP & Variance: Pawel Majewski
- P3HT & GTSAXS: Xinhui Lu, Ben Ocko, Dan Johnston, Charles Black
- Nano-lattices: Fang Lu, Yugang Zhang, Oleg Gang

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

Center for Functional Nanomaterials Brookhaven National Laboratory

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)